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Abstract
In this endeavor an attempt has been made to investigate the linkage between the current account deficit and budget deficit in Pakistan in order to test the validity of the Keynesian stance, which states that there is positive and significant relationship between the said variables. Autoregressive distributed lag model (ARDL) is used for the robustness of long-run relationship between current account deficit and budget deficit in the presence of control variables. For short run dynamics ECM (Error Correction mechanism) has applied. To test the validity of the Keynesian proposition and the Ricardian equivalence in the case of Pakistan multivariate Granger causality test developed by Toda and Yamamoto (1995) has applied. The empirical analysis in this paper partially supports the Keynesian view that there is a positive relationship between current account deficit and budget deficit In terms of policy implication it is recommended that any policy measures to reduce the budget deficit in Pakistan could well assist in reducing the Pakistan’s current account deficit, which will ultimately leads to sustain economic growth.
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1. Introduction
The problem of twin deficits has been one of the most disputed issues in economics. Different schools of thought have different ideas about the relationship between budget deficits and current account deficits in both developed and developing countries. Following McCoskey and Kao (1999), we define twin deficits as a long-run (positive) relationship between the current account and the budget deficit, including some other factors. The study of twin deficit phenomena got serious attention from researchers due the reason that in most of the situation, twin deficits may leads to economic harms and hurt economic growth. However, sometimes current account deficit is due to the investment opportunities created by technical transformation, while in sometimes it result from reduction in saving rate, which may be due to the change in consumer expenditures, changes in tax rate or changes in fiscal balance (Stockman 2000). The link between an economy’s current account deficit and its budget deficit tickled extensive academic debate and empirical testing over the decades.
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Many researchers such as Dewald (1983), Dwyer (1982), Holelscher (1983), and Evans (1985) show that interest rates are not affected by the size of the budget deficit, and so there is no relationship between budget deficit and trade deficit. The current account deficit is always high in Pakistan and now it is serving a mounting pressure on economic growth of Pakistan. The repercussions of the Pakistan’s worsening current account deficit have profound effect on the economic condition. A number of factors are responsible for high current account deficit in Pakistan, such as: a hike in general price level, mounting trade deficit and high budget deficit (Government of Pakistan, 2008). In case of Pakistan most of the work has been done on twin deficit phenomena. Our contribution to that work on twin deficit of Pakistan is to investigate the relationship between budget deficit and current account deficit with respect to so several control variables in multivariate analysis framework in order to test the validity of the Keynesian stance that there is positive and significant relationship between the said variables.

Our work is different from other researchers in the sense that they investigate twin deficit phenomena in the presence of saving investment gap but we introduced GDP, interest rate and exchange rate as control variables. By omitting so many important variables can lead to spurious results because of the specification problem. Moreover, we have employed ARDL framework of analysis in case of investigating Pakistan’s twin deficit. ARDL is an advance technique of co integration and provide better results than Engle Granger and Johnson co integration techniques. This paper is designed as: section I2 explains the model and data collection procedure, section 3 explains the Methodology and section 4 investigates the empirical results and final section presents the conclusion and policy implication.

2. Modeling Data and Methodological framework

2.1 Modal Specification:

To capture the relationship between two variables (including control variables) in the multivariate model say trade deficit to budget deficit can be tested by estimating

\[ \text{CAD}_t = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \text{BD}_t + \lambda_2 \text{GDP}_t + \lambda_3 \text{ER}_t + \lambda_4 \text{INT}_t + \mu \]  

(1)

Where,

- CAD = Current Account Deficit
- BD = Budget Deficit
- GDP = Gross Domestic product
- ER = Exchange rate
- INT = Interest rate

As \( \mu \) is white noise term and summation of polynomials of appropriate orders. Data used for this analysis is of 36 years from 1972 to 2008 and collected from different sources as Statistical year book, Economic survey of Pakistan.

2.2 Methodology.

Due to several flaws in the conventional co-integration techniques, in terms of methodology, the study adopts the recently developed Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) framework by Pesaran and Shin (1995, 1999), Pesaran et al. (1996) and Pesaran (1997). The study we employed the Augmented Dickey–Fuller (ADF), Phillips–Perron (PP) and the Ng-Perron unit root tests to determine the order of integration for all the series. The error correction version of ARDL model is given below:

\[ \Delta \text{CAD}_t = \alpha + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Delta \text{CAD}_{t-i} + \beta_2 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Delta \text{BD}_{t-i} + \beta_3 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Delta \text{GDP}_{t-i} + \beta_4 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Delta \text{ER}_{t-i} + \beta_5 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Delta \text{INT}_{t-i} + \eta \]  

(2)

Where \( \alpha \) is drift component and \( \mu \) white noise. Two well known Criteria for the selection of the modal are Schwartz Bayesian Criteria (SBC) and Akaike’s Information Criteria (AIC).

We utilize the following equation to estimate the short run coefficients:

\[ \Delta \text{CAD}_t = \alpha + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Delta \text{CAD}_{t-i} + \beta_2 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Delta \text{BD}_{t-i} + \beta_3 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Delta \text{GDP}_{t-i} + \beta_4 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Delta \text{ER}_{t-i} + \beta_5 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Delta \text{INT}_{t-i} + \eta \text{EC}_{t-j} \]  

(3)

\( \eta \) is the error correction term in the model indicates the pace of adjustment reverse to long run equilibrium following a short run shock. To ensure the goodness of fit of model, the study also conducted the diagnostic tests.

\(^1\) The test is conducted within a multivariate framework to keep away from biases due to the omitted variables incident.
2.3 Multivariate Granger Causality Tests

The Toda and Yamamoto (1995) augmented Granger causality test has been obtained in the present study by estimating a two-equation method using the seemingly unrelated regressions (SUR) technique. The two equations, which are estimated, are given below:

\[ CAD_t = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_{1i} \Delta CAD_t + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_{13} \Delta X_t + \mu \]...

\[ BD_t = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_{21} \Delta CAD_t + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_{23} \Delta X_t + \mu \]...

Vector X stands for control variables, k is the optimal lag order and d is the maximal order of integration of the series in the system.

3. Estimation results

3.1 Testing of the unit root hypothesis

To test the unit root hypothesis to all variables, ADF test, PP and Ng - Perron test were applied. Results show that the variables are having different order of integration which enables us to apply Auto Regressive Distributive Lag Modal (ARDL) framework.

Table 1: Unit Root results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ADF (Drift&amp; trend)</th>
<th>P- P (Drift&amp; trend)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 1st diff:</td>
<td>Level 1st diff:</td>
<td>Level 1st diff:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>-4.24*</td>
<td>-7.98*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD</td>
<td>-5.15*</td>
<td>-6.50*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>-2.84</td>
<td>-4.98*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>-5.46*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-4.68*</td>
<td>-5.10*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *(***) shows significance at 1% (5%) level.

3.2 Autoregressive Lag distributed model (ARDL) Lag selection

In the first stage, the order of lag length is obtained from unrestricted vector autoregressive (VAR) via Schwartz Bayesian Criteria and Akaike Information Criteria. The progression of lag selection on the basis of ARDL gives the following results:

Table 2: Lag length Selection & Bound Testing for Co-integration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modal 1</th>
<th>Order Of the lags</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>HQ</th>
<th>SBC</th>
<th>F-test Statistics</th>
<th>Wald F-stat:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K = 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>4.67*</td>
<td>3.506*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K = 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>8.16**</td>
<td>7.25**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Serial correlation LM, F = 0.55 (0.57)   Heteroscedasticity test F= 1.88(0.18)
Ramsey RESET test F= 0.71(0.45)  Normality J-B value = 0.72(0.42)

*(***) Significant at 10 % (5%) level of significant according to Pesaran et al (2001). and Narayan P (2005)

The results of bound testing approach show that calculated F statistics is 7.25 which is higher than upper bound critical value at 1% level of significant implying that there is indeed a long run relationship among the variables in the model. We also find a stable long run relationship between budget deficit and current account deficit as specified by the CUSUM stability test. In order to estimate the long run coefficients, we regressed the current account deficit on linear term of budget deficit along with control variables.

Table 3: Estimated Long Run Coefficients using the ARDL Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Dependent Variable CAD ARDL(1,2,2,1, 2)</th>
<th>Regressor</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Prob-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BD</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT</td>
<td>-60.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As it is seen from table 3 that the estimated processed expected signs and significant at 5% level. The coefficient of budget deficit is 0.03 indicate that in long run a unit increase in budget deficit leads to 3 percent increase in current account deficit.

Notes: *(***) shows significance at 1% (5%) level.
The coefficient of GDP is also positive and significant means that when economic activities in the country increases investment also increases which put upward pressure on interest rate, because of high interest rate inflow of foreign capital increases which deteriorate the trade balance. With the increase in economic activities demand for imports also increases leads to merchandise trade deficit in the economy. The coefficients of ER and INT are also negative and significant suggesting that a downward pressure in the magnitude of these variables leads to worsening current account deficit. The long run results also indicate that current account deficit is more sensitive to budget deficit and interest rate. For short run dynamics we apply Error correction mechanism. The results of ECM are given in table 4.

### Table 4: Error correction representation of ARDL model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Dependent Variable</th>
<th>CAD</th>
<th>ARDL(1,2,2,1, 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regressor</td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>Prob- value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔBD</td>
<td>12.57</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔG</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔER</td>
<td>-12.34</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔINT</td>
<td>-15.51</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE(-1)</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R-Squared = 0.73  
R-Bar-Squared = 0.63  
F-statistics = 11.16 [0.00]

The estimated lagged error correction term ECt-1 is negative and highly significant. These results support the co integration among the variables represented by equation (1). The feedback coefficient is -0.35 suggests that about 35% disequilibrium is corrected in the current year. The result also suggests that in the short run government budget deficit has significant impact on the current account deficit.

### Table 5: Multivariate Granger Causality Test; Toda - Yamamoto results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Null Hypothesis</th>
<th>Chi-Square</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BD does not Granger cause CAD</td>
<td>24.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD does not Granger cause BD</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of Toda - Yamamoto tests of Granger causality show that there is bidirectional causality between budget deficit and trade deficit.

### 4. Conclusion and policy implications.

This paper examines the empirical relationship between budget deficit and current account deficit in case of Pakistan over the period of 1971 to 2008, using autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) approach in order to test the validity of the Keynesian stance, which, states that there is positive and significant relationship between the said variables. The results show that in case of Pakistan, the long run Coefficients of control variables (GDP, ER and INT) appeared to be significant and the most significant variable is budget deficit. Hence, the Keynesian stance is valid in case of Pakistan. The feedback coefficient is negative and significant suggesting that about 35% disequilibrium in the previous period is corrected in current year. We find a stable long run relationship between budget deficit and trade deficit as indicated by the CUSUM and CUSUMq stability test. In case of Pakistan trade deficit is showing varying trend mostly increased deficit while budget deficit is reducing the basic reason behind increased deficit can be day by day increasing oil prices which has not only increased cost of production but also freight charges. This disheart the trade balance. In terms of policy implication it is recommended that any policy measures to reduce the budget deficit in Pakistan could well assist in reducing the Pakistan current account deficit that will ultimately leads to sustain economic growth. It is suggested that the government should curtail its non productive expenditures in order to reduce its budget deficit.
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